

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/517,262	12/07/2004	Kazuhiko Sugimoto	2004 1872A	8743	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W.			EXAMINER .		
			. GUHARAY, KARABI		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006-1021		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2879		
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/01/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	· A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		0/517,262	SUGIMOTO ET A	SUGIMOTO ET AL.			
		xaminer	Art Unit				
		arabi Guharay	2879				
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	unication appear	rs on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comparison. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reany reply received by the Office later than three mont earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	MAILING DATE ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a ommunication. n statutory period will a eply will, by statute, cau hs after the mailing date	OF THIS COMMUN). In no event, however, may pply and will expire SIX (6) Mouse the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on		•				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .		tion is non-final.					
′≡	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•	·	•			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the	annlication						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to							
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> are subject to restric	_	ion requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by	the Evaminer		·				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	• .						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a clai	m for foreign pri	ority under 35 U.S.C.	& 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the Interna							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
·							
Attachment(c)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Intention	Summany (PTO-412)				
Notice of References Cited (F10-092) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/0	3) .	_	Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	•	6)	<u> </u>				

Application/Control Number: 10/517,262

Art Unit: 2879

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-3, drawn to Plasma display panel, classified in class 313, subclass 582.
- II. Claims 4-6, drawn to method of forming phosphor, classified in class 252, subclass 301.4R.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the claimed process of making phosphor can make a fluorescent lamp instead of a plasma device.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karabi Guharay whose telephone number is 571-272-2452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00 am - 5:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar D. Patel can be reached on 571-272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2879

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kgnhavay Karabi Guharay Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879

7/24/07